In this communication, we report that ionizable, tertiary amine-based block copolymers can be used as pH-responsive contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) through the chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) mechanism. The CEST signal is essentially "off" when the polymers form micelles near physiological pH but is activated to the "on" state when the micelles dissociate in an acidic environment.

Balaban and coworkers first reported a new class of contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) based on a chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) mechanism.\(^1,2\) In biological systems, many endogenous CEST agents exist either as small biomolecules or macromolecules with exchangeable \(-\text{NH}\) or \(-\text{OH}\) protons.\(^3-6\) Exogenous CEST agents can also be designed to respond to a variety of physiological signals such as pH, temperature, enzyme activity or metabolite levels.\(^7-13\)

This new technology offers a multitude of new venues for in vivo molecular imaging using standard MRI scanners.

In our previous studies, we have reported a series of tertiary amine-based block copolymers, such as poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly[2-(diisopropylamino)ethyl methacrylate] (PEG-b-PDPA), that form micelles at pH 7.4 and dissociate over a sharp pH range below 6.3. The micelles dissociate into unimers in acidic environments due to the switch of the amine block of PDPA from the hydrophobic to the hydrophilic/charged state that parallels protonation of tertiary amine groups (Scheme 1).\(^14,15\)

The pH response is sharp (\(\Delta\text{pH} < 0.25\) pH units) and tunable (the transition pH, \(\text{pH}_t\), is adjustable by changing the side chains of tertiary amines). Since the major difference between the micelle and unimer states is the protonation of tertiary amines (where the resulting ammonium groups have exchangeable protons), we anticipated that these copolymers may also serve as activatable MRI contrast agents via a CEST mechanism. Conceivably, the CEST signal would be silent near physiological pH (i.e. the micellar form does not contain exchangeable protons) but would be turned "on" in acidic environments after the micelles dissociate into protonated unimers having exchangeable protons.

To investigate the feasibility of this approach, a PEG\(_{114}\)-b-PDPA\(_{116}\) copolymer (114 and 116 refer to the numbers of repeating units in PEG and PDPA segments, respectively) was synthesized and used as a model system (Scheme 1 and Fig. S1 in the ESI\(^+\)). Two major aspects were considered: (1) PEG-b-PDPA copolymers had been intensively characterized in previous studies as ultra pH-responsive fluorescent probes\(^15\) and (2) the p\(K_a\) of PEG-b-PDPA is ca. one pH unit below the physiological pH so that it will have large enough differences to be silent in blood yet potentially shows a CEST signal only from acidic tissues. Experimentally, the p\(K_a\) of PEG\(_{114}\)-b-PDPA\(_{116}\) as determined by pH titration (Fig. S2 in the ESI\(^+\)) was 6.27. This p\(K_a\) is 0.4 pH unit lower than that reported for a similar copolymer with a shorter PDPA\(_n\) segment (\(n = 80\)) and additional...
evaluate the protonation status, the zeta potential of PEG-114-PDPA was also measured as a function of pH (Fig. 1b). At high pH values where the amines are de-protonated and micelles dominate, the small negative zeta potential reflects small amounts of OH⁻ anions introduced during sample preparation. As the pH is lowered, protonation (H⁺) of the tertiary amines occurs and the PDPA segments acquire positive charge. It is worth noting that the charge crossover point (the point of zero charge) is approximately at pH 7.2, about one pH unit above the pKₐ of the amine. In contrast, the zeta potential increases much more dramatically as the pH approaches the pHt at ≈ 5.9. This indicates that the protonation of the block copolymer is dramatically increased during the transition from micelles to unimers.

To examine the CEST feasibility of PEG-b-PDPA, the polymer was prepared into micelles at a polymer concentration of 0.15 µg ml⁻¹ for PEG₁₁₄-b-PDPA₁₁₆, about 6-fold lower than that of PEG₁₁₄-b-PDPA₈₀ (CMC = 0.9 µg ml⁻¹). To evaluate the protonation status, the zeta potential of PEG-b-PDPA was also measured as a function of pH (Fig. 1b). At high pH values where the amines are de-protonated and micelles dominate, the small negative zeta potential reflects small amounts of OH⁻ anions introduced during sample preparation. As the pH is lowered, protonation (H⁺) of the tertiary amines occurs and the PDPA segments acquire positive charge.

Fluorescent dyes (tetramethyl rhodamine)₁⁵ in addition, the critical micelle concentration (CMC) (Fig. S3, ESI†) was found to be 0.15 µg ml⁻¹ for PEG₁₁₄-b-PDPA₁₁₆, about 6-fold lower than that of PEG₁₁₄-b-PDPA₈₀ (CMC = 0.9 µg ml⁻¹).¹⁵

Fig. 1a shows the pH dependence of the hydrodynamic diameter (Dₜ, nm) of PEG-b-PDPA as measured by the dynamic light scattering (DLS). Clearly, the micelle transition occurs at a pHₜ of ca. 5.9, about 0.4 pH units lower than that of a similar block copolymer with a shorter PDPAₙ segment (n = 80).¹⁵ To evaluate the protonation status, the zeta potential of PEG-b-PDPA was also measured as a function of pH (Fig. 1b). At high pH values where the amines are de-protonated and micelles dominate, the small negative zeta potential reflects small amounts of OH⁻ anions introduced during sample preparation. As the pH is lowered, protonation (H⁺) of the tertiary amines occurs and the PDPA segments acquire positive charge. It is worth noting that the charge crossover point (the point of zero charge) is approximately at pH 7.2, about one pH unit above the pKₐ of the amine. In contrast, the zeta potential increases much more dramatically as the pH approaches the pHt at ≈ 5.9. This indicates that the protonation of the block copolymer is dramatically increased during the transition from micelles to unimers.

To examine the CEST feasibility of PEG-b-PDPA, the polymer was prepared into micelles at a polymer concentration of 0.5 mM following the published procedures.¹⁴ The stock solution was dispersed in a 0.1 M buffer consisting of 2-[(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) and/or 3-morpholinopropane-1-sulfonic acid (MOPS) to achieve a stable pH value. The sample was ultracentrifuged using centrifuge filtration tubes with a 3 kDa molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) filter. Samples of buffer alone were tested and found to have no CEST signal (Fig. S4 in the ESI†). Fig. 2a illustrates typical CEST spectra of PEG-b-PDPA solution at pH 5.0 and 7.5, respectively. Each data point in a CEST spectrum reflects the intensity of the solvent water signal in the ¹H NMR spectrum after applying a 5 s RF saturation pulse at a power level of 9.4 µT at 160 different saturation frequency offsets over the range ±20 ppm.¹² The negative peak at 0 ppm in these spectra reflects direct saturation of the solvent water resonance (Note: the chemical shift of bulk water was manually set to 0 ppm to better visualize the CEST peak). At pH 7.5, there is no evidence for the exchanging species that could produce CEST. At pH 5.0, however, the CEST spectrum shows quite a different lineshape, namely, an additional exchange peak appears as a shoulder at ca. 2–5 ppm downfield of water. This can be assigned to CEST from the exchangeable protons on the tertiary ammonium groups. Assuming that all protonated tertiary amines have an identical proton exchange rate and chemical shift, this CEST spectrum should fit well to a simple 2-pool chemical exchange model involving proton exchange between R₃N–H⁺ and H₂O protons. The solid line in Fig. 2a shows the fit of the CEST data at pH 5.0 to the Bloch equations for a 2-pool model.¹⁶ The ammonium proton lifetime (τₑₓ) in PEG-b-PDPA at pH 5.0 was estimated to be ≈ 890 µs using this fitting procedure. In addition, the corresponding monomeric analogs, N₃[N-dioisopropylethylamine and N₃[N-diospropy lamino-ethanol, were found to have similar CEST features (Fig. S5, ESI†). These data support the assignment of the CEST peak appearing near 2–5 ppm to the exchangeable amine proton in the polymeric form of PEG-b-PDPA.

The CEST effect can be better visualized in a plot of the asymmetric intensity difference between the MRI signal with presaturation at the frequency of exchanging protons (on-freq) and the MRI signal with presaturation at an equivalent frequency on the opposite side of water (off-freq),¹³ i.e., MTRₘᵦᵢᵢ = [(Mₑ/Mₒ)on freq – (Mₑ/Mₒ)off freq], as shown in Fig. 2b. For clarity, only those MTRₘᵦᵢᵢ spectra over the pH range 5.0 to 7.5 were shown. All CEST spectra and the corresponding MTRₘᵦᵢᵢ spectra are shown in Fig. S6 (ESI†). For PEG-b-PDPA, the exchanging protons are activated over a rather broad range of pH values, reaching a maximum at pH ≈ 5. Shown in Fig. 2c is a plot of MTRₘᵦᵢᵢ vs. pH for this system. There are three distinct phases in this plot: (1) the 1st phase above pH 6.5 corresponds to the non-protonated micelle state where CEST is “off”; (2) the 2nd phase between pH 5 and 6.5 shows a variable CEST “on” region where the sample is partially converted from micelles to unimers and begins to protonate; and (3) the 3rd phase below pH ~ 5 where proton exchange gradually becomes too slow to meet the exchange requirement for CEST. Such a bell-shaped dependence of CEST versus pH values was previously observed in a paramagnetic CEST system.¹⁷ In the 1st and 2nd phases, the CEST effects present a typical switch from the totally “off”
(pH > 6.5) to gradually “on” (pH < 6.5). By comparing the data shown in Fig. 1b and 2c, one could conclude that the CEST effects should be proportional to the protonation percentages of the tertiary amino groups. These NMR spectroscopic data do reveal the feasibility that PEG-b-PDPA might be able to serve as an MRI CEST agent over a physiologically relevant pH range.

The sensitivity of detection of a contrast agent is an important parameter. Shown in Fig. 2d is a plot of $\text{MTR}_{\text{asym}}$ vs. the polymer concentration at pH 5.8 (Note: this data set was acquired using a shorter saturation duration time of 3 s). This shows that an ~0.1 mM polymer is required to produce the ~3% CEST signal. This sensitivity is similar in order of magnitude to other small molecular CEST agents\(^1,\)\(^2\) or polymeric CEST agents on a per-monomer basis.\(^18\)

To further demonstrate the feasibility of using CEST to image pH by MRI, a phantom was prepared consisting of seven plastic tubes filled with 0.5 mM PEG-b-PDPA solutions at different pH values (Fig. 3d). A 3 s saturation pulse ($B_1 = 8.2 \, \mu$T) was applied at the different frequency offsets, varying from +10 ppm to −10 ppm with a decreased step of 0.2 ppm. Shown in Fig. 3a and b are the raw images at saturation frequency offsets of +3.8 ppm and −3.8 ppm, respectively. The CEST image (Fig. 3c) was obtained via an image subtraction pixelwise (Fig. 3a and b). Shown in Fig. 3e is a quantified plot of $\text{MTR}_{\text{asym}}$ ($\pm 3.8$ ppm) as a function of pH. The trend has a very similar pattern to that of the spectroscopic data shown in Fig. 2c but the absolute values were different because the image intensities are related to many factors such as the MRI hardware settings, the imaging pulse sequences, etc.

In summary, we have shown that ionizable polymer-based CEST probes may be used as pH-responsive MRI CEST agents. This system is unique in that CEST is essentially “off” at normal physiological pH and only switched “on” in an acidic environment. This pH-activatable micelle platform may find useful applications for in vivo MRI molecular imaging of acidosis-related metabolic diseases as well as for monitoring the pH-responsive drug delivery using pH-responsive micelles as nanocarriers.
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